1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 6 JUDY KROSHUS, et al., 3:08-cv-0246-LDG 7 (Kroshus I) Plaintiffs, 8 v. 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 ALICIA UHOUSE, et al., 3:08-cv-0285-LDG 13 Plaintiffs, 14 v. 15 THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 BILL ADAMSON, et al., 3:08-cv-0621-LDG 18 (Adamson I) Plaintiffs, 19 v. 20 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 21 Defendant. 22 23 24 25 26

		1
1	LARRY J. MOORE, et al.,	3:09-cv-0167-LDG
2	Plaintiffs,	
3	V.	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
5	Defendants.	
6		-
7	JAMES ADGETT, et al.,	3:09-cv-0649-LDG
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	V.	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
11	Defendant.	
12	JUDY KROSHUS, et al.,	3:09-cv-0713-LDG (Kroshus II)
13	Plaintiffs,	(Riosinas II)
	V.	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	BILL ADAMSON, et al.,	3:09-cv-0715-LDG
17	Plaintiffs,	(Adamson II)
18	V.	
19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
20	Defendant.	
21	JASON AMES, et al.,	3:10-cv-0463-LDG
22	Plaintiffs,	
23	V.	
24	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
25	Defendant.	
26		J

1	In conformity with the subdivision of pending motions for oral arguments and rulings		
2	announced by the court in its order of March 16, 2011, and in the further interests of case		
3	management of this litigation,		
4	THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the following motions are DENIED without		
5	prejudice subject to their reinstatement by the court in the due course of the aforementioned		
6	proceedings:		
7	Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG		
8	Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment on the issue of the Bureau of Reclamation's level of flows through the Truckee Canal (#857)		
10	Adamson v. United States, 3:08-cv-00621-LDG		
11	United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function immunity (#230)		
12	Moore v. United States, 3:09-cv-0167-LDG		
13 14	United States' motion for summary judgment regrading discretionary function immunity (#219)		
15	Adgett v. United States, 3:09-cv-0649-LDG		
16	United States' motion for summary judgment regrading discretionary function immunity (#161)		
17	Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG		
18	TRC Engineers, Inc.'s, defendants' motion for summary judgment against the 58 individuals in Kroshus II who are not members of the Reimers class action (#368)		
19	Adamson v. United States, 3:09-cv-0715-LDG		
20	United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function		
21	immunity (#170)		
22	Ames v. United States, 3:10-cv-0463-LDG		
23 24	United States' motion for summary judgment regarding discretionary function immunity (#112)		
25	THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS that, as approval of class settlement and distribution		
26	of settlement funds has been granted, the following related motions for approval of settlement of		

Case 3:09-cv-00713-LDG Document 408 Filed 09/27/13 Page 4 of 4

class action pursuant to FRCP 23(e) and distribution of settlement funds are hereby DENIED in the following cases as moot: Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus I), 3:08-cv-0246-LDG (#848); Uhouse v. Bureau of Reclamation, 3:08-cv-0285-LDG (#458); and Kroshus v. United States (Kroshus II), 3:09-cv-0713-LDG (#384). day of September, 2013. Lloyd D. George United States District Judge